# UNCLASSIFIED 437190

## DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

**FOR** 

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Technical Report 17

# PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON FAST LUMINOUS FRONTS IN ELECTROMAGNETIC SHOCK TUBES

By: R. A. Nelson

Prepared for:

DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CONTRACT SD-103 UNDER ARPA ORDER 281-62 PROJECT CODE 7400

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA

SRI



Requests for additional copies by Agencies of the Department of Defense, their contractors, and other Government agencies should be directed to the:

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER (DDC)
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

Department of Defense contractors must be established for DDC services or have their "need-to-know" certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract.

All other persons and organizations should apply to the:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OFFICE OF TECHNICAL SERVICES WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

## STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE



MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA

November 1963

Technical Report 17

# PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON FAST LUMINOUS FRONTS IN ELECTROMAGNETIC SHOCK TUBES

Prepared for:

DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CONTRACT SD-103 UNDER ARPA ORDER 281-62 PROJECT CODE 7400

By: R. A. Nelson Mathematical Physics Department

SRI Project No. 3857

#### **ABSTRACT**

Preliminary results of a theoretical study of precursor effects in electromagnetic shock tubes are presented. In particular, an examination is made of the theories of fast luminous fronts which are observed to precede shock waves in such shock tubes. Errors in a theory by Paxton and Fowler are indicated.

#### **CONTENTS**

ABST	RACT	•		•	•	•						•	ii
I	INTRODUCTION												1
11	CRITICISM OF PAXTON-FOWLER THEORY .												3
П	FIRST ORDER TONIZATION FRONT THEORY												5
IV	CONCLUDING REMARKS												9

#### I INTRODUCTION

This technical report contains some preliminary results of a theoretical study of precursor effects in electromagnetic shock tubes. The study was initiated to supplement the experiment work on electromagnetic shock tubes that is being conducted in the Electromagnetic Sciences Laboratory here at SRI.

Precursor ionization ahead of the first shock in electromagnetic shock tubes has been widely observed. A much less widely observed phenomenon is that of a fast luminous front preceding the first shock. The exact relationship between these two phenomena has yet to be established. This report deals primarily with results obtained on the fast luminous fronts, but the theory suggested for the luminous fronts also accounts for the precursor ionization that results from the driving discharge.

Fast luminous fronts have been observed to precede the first shock wave in electromagnetic shock tubes. Josephson and Hales 1 noted a faintly luminous front in deuterium that traveled at speeds between 30 and  $120~\mathrm{cm}/\mu\mathrm{sec}$  at ambient pressures between 0.1 and 2.5 mm Hg. A conical shock tube was driven by a 3.2  $\mu$ f capacitor charged to 24 kv. They speculated on the possibility that the front was due to deuterons that were accelerated to kilovolt energies by instabilities occurring in discharge. Medford, Powell, and Fletcher<sup>2</sup> also observed a luminous front moving ahead of the first shock in deuterium. The speed of the first front was 5 cm/ $\mu$ sec at an ambient pressure of 4 mm Hg. Energy for their shock tube was supplied by a  $10 ext{-}\mu\mathrm{f}$  capacitor charged to  $10\ \mathrm{kv}$ . They concluded that this front had the properties of a weak R-type ionization front.3 In the terminology of fluid dynamics, such a front is classified as a weak detonation front. Fowler and Hood observed fast luminous waves in hydrogen and argon. They reported velocities between 60 and  $400~\mathrm{cm}/\mu\mathrm{sec}$  at pressures between 0.1 and  $1~\mathrm{mm}$  Hg using voltages from

<sup>1</sup> V. Josephson and R. W. Halea, Space Technology Laboratories Report STL/TR-60-0000-19313, 1960 (unpublished).

R. D. Medford, A. L. T. Powell and W. H. W. Fletcher, Nature 196, 32 (1962).

<sup>3</sup> F. D. Kahn, Bull. Astro. Inst. Neth. 12, 187 (1954).

<sup>4</sup> R. Courant and K. O. Friedrichs, Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1948).

<sup>5</sup> R. G. Fowler and J. D. Hood, Jr., Phys. Rev. 128, 991 (1962).

3 to 9 kv. A companion paper by Paxton and Fowler<sup>6</sup> presents a theory for breakdown wave propagation and relates such waves with the observed fast luminous fronts.

A criticism of the treatment by Paxton and Fowler will be made in this note, and it will be hypothesized that breakdown waves can be treated as ionization fronts to first order, with electrical current constituting a second-order effect.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  G. W. Paxton and R. G. Fowler, Phys. Rev. 128, 993 (1962).

#### II CRITICISM OF PAXTON-FOWLER THEORY

Paxton and Fowler 6 consider the propagation of luminosity fronts associated with the electrical breakdown of a gas. A breakdown wave front was treated as an electron shock wave. They presumed that near the electrode where the potential gradient in the gas is greatest, ionization of a small quantity of gas occurs and that the electrons produced are given kinetic energy by the electric field. The resulting localized high-temperature electron gas is considered to expand rapidly, thus producing an electron shock wave which propagates into the ambient gas, partially ionizing the overrun neutral gas molecules. An inconsistency in their paper results from the statement that "the energy necessary for driving the shock wave is given directly to the electrons in the shock zone by the external field;" actually their treatment indicates—as they later state explicitly—that there is only a secondary dependence of the propagation speed on the direct effect of the electric field. The primary driving mechanism in their treatment is the partial pressure of high-temperature electrons behind the front.

They use a one-dimensional, steady-state, three-fluid, hydro-dynamical model assuming that the electron pressure is much greater than the partial pressures of the other species, that there is no electrical current, and that there is negligible heat flow. The principal criticism of their paper lies in their treatment of the zero electrical current assumption. As a first-order condition their assumption seems quite reasonable, but they express this condition as follows:

$$nv - N_i V_i = 0 ag{1}$$

where

n = Number density of electrons

v = Flow velocity of electrons in the frame in which the front is at rest

 $N_i$  = Number density of ions

 $V_i$  = Flow velocity of ions in the frame in which the front is at rest.

Actually this equation is the steady-state expression for charge conservation across the front (i.e., ahead of the front there are no electrons or positive ions and at the front the same number of free electrons and singly ionized positive ions are generated; thus  $nv = N_i V_i$  both ahead of and behind the front). The condition for zero electrical current should be written in terms of the corresponding velocities in the laboratory frame. Thus

$$n(v - V_0) - N_i(V_i - V_0) = 0 (2)$$

where  $V_0$  is the speed of the front. Except for the trivial case in which  $V_0=0$ , these two equations imply that  $N_i=n$  and  $V_i=\nu$ . Hence the ions and electrons would travel together, and a three-fluid model is not necessary for a zero-current model.

As a result of the misinterpretation of Eq. (1) the solution given by Paxton and Fowler does have current flowing in the laboratory frame. And since the electron flow is in the same direction as the front velocity while the ions are virtually stationary, this gives the unlikely result that current flows against the electric field for the case of a breakdown wave originating at a positive electrode. On the other hand, a model of this kind may have merit for the case of a precursor wave traveling into an essentially field-free region.

#### III FIRST-ORDER IONIZATION-FRONT THEORY

An alternative method that appears promising for the treatment of both breakdown waves and precursor waves is to treat these phenomena as ionization fronts, as suggested by Medford, Powell and Fletcher. To first order, the luminous front preceding a shock wave in an electromagnetic shock tube will be considered to be the same as a breakdown wave in a gaseous electrical discharge. It is assumed that the breakdown initiates at the electrode with the greatest potential gradient and that a localized region of hot ionized gas is formed. Ionizing radiation from this hot gas is assumed to be the primary driving mechanism for an ionization front that moves out from the hot gas. It is further assumed that to first order there is no electrical current; hence, a single-fluid model will be used.

Consider a one-dimensional picture. Monochromatic ionizing radiation is coming from the negative x-direction and is being absorbed at the ionization front. An ionization front consists of a comparatively thin photoabsorbing region situated between transparent ionized gas behind the front and opaque un-ionized gas ahead of the front. The front moves in the positive x-direction into the un-ionized gas at a velocity,  $V_0$ , that is determined by the intensity of the ionizing radiation.

The ratio of specific heats will be taken to be  $^5/\!\!3$  (i.e., monatomic molecules are assumed), so the speed of sound,  $c_0$ , in the ambient gas is  $[(5\ p_0)/(3\ \rho_0)]^{1/\!\!2}$  where  $p_0$  is the ambient pressure and  $\rho_0$  is the ambient density. The one-dimensional fluid-dynamic equations for transfer of mass, momentum, and energy in the rest frame of the front are

$$\rho_1 v_1 = \rho_0 V_0 \tag{3}$$

$$p_1 + \rho_1 v_1^2 = p_0 + \rho_0 V_0^2 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{5}{2} \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{2} v_1^2 = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} + \frac{1}{2} V_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} Q^2$$
 (5)

where  $(1/2)Q^2$  is the excess kinetic energy per unit mass available after ionization of an atom, and is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2} mQ^2 = h\nu - E_i \tag{6}$$

with  $m=\max$  of an atom,  $h=\operatorname{Planck's}$  constant,  $\nu=$  frequency of the ionizing radiation, and  $E_{j}=$  ionization energy.

Define

$$\epsilon = \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} \quad . \tag{7}$$

Then by Eqs. (3), (4), and (5) the equation for  $\epsilon$  is

$$\left[\frac{5p_0}{\rho_0} + V_0^2 + Q^2\right] \epsilon^2 - 5\left[\frac{p_0}{\rho_0} + V_0^2\right] \epsilon + 4V_0^2 = 0$$
 (8)

or, in terms of the speed of sound in the ambient gas,

$$(3c_0^2 + V_0^2 + Q^2)\epsilon^2 - (3c_0^2 + 5V_0^2)\epsilon + 4V_0^2 = 0 . (9)$$

Kahu<sup>3</sup> has enumerated the possible solutions of Eq. (9) and related them to different kinds of fronts. The kind of front that is applicable here is a weak R-type ionization front. It is characterized by a speed of propagation that is supersonic both with respect to the ambient gas ahead of the front and the ionized gas behind the front. A necessary condition thus obtained from Eq. (9) for the existence of such a front is

$$. \qquad V_0 > \frac{1}{3} \left[ 2Q + \left( 4Q^2 + 9c_0^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \qquad . \tag{10}$$

In practice this condition is easily met since ionization of molecules typically requires radiation at wavelengths of many hundreds of Angstroms while for Q to be of comparable magnitude to  $V_0$  at  $10^8$  cm/sec, radiation near one Angstrom would be required. Any source with most of its ionizing radiation at wavelengths greater than 1 Å would certainly fulfill this condition. Actually one would expect that  $V_0 >> Q$ ,  $c_0$ , so that  $\epsilon \doteq 1$  and  $v_1 \doteq V_0$ . Thus in the laboratory frame the magnitude of flow

speed of the ionized fluid would be much smaller than the rate of advance of the ionization front, so that to a good approximation the flow speed of the ionized fluid is zero.

A model of such an ionization front, for which the shape of the ionization density contour is easily calculable, is as follows: Consider a one-dimensional model in which a source of ionizing radiation with a flux  $J_0$  photons/cm<sup>2</sup> sec is located at  $x=-\infty$ . Assume that only the neutral molecules are effective in the absorption of radiation, that the absorption of each photon gives rise to a single ion-electron pair and that the argument of all dependent variables is given by  $\zeta = x - V_0 t$  for t finite. The equation for the absorption of the radiation flux is given by

$$\frac{\partial J(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta} = -\alpha J(\zeta) n_n(\zeta) \tag{11}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the absorption coefficient and  $n_n(\zeta)$  is the number density of neutral molecules. Using the boundary condition  $J(-\infty)=J_0$  gives

$$J(\zeta) = J_0 \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} n_n(z) dz \right\} . \tag{12}$$

Let the ambient density of neutral molecules be  $n_0 = n_n(\infty)$  and define  $\zeta = 0$  by the condition  $n_n(0) = (n_0/2)$ . Then

$$J(\zeta) + V_0 n_n(\zeta) = J_0 \tag{13}$$

and the speed of the front is

$$V_0 = \frac{J_0}{n_0} \quad . \tag{14}$$

From Eqs. (12), (13), and (14), the integral equation for the shape of the front is

$$n_0 = n_n(\zeta) + n_0 \exp \left\{-\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} n_n(z) dz\right\}$$
 (15)

with  $\alpha \ge 0$ , one obtains

$$n_n(\zeta) = \frac{n_0}{1 + \exp(-\alpha n_0 \zeta)} \tag{16}$$

for the neutral molecules. Thus the number density of the ions and electrons is given by

$$n_{i}(\zeta) = n_{e}(\zeta) = \frac{n_{0}}{1 + \exp(\alpha n_{0} \zeta)}$$

#### IV CONCLUDING REMARKS

It appears that the principal difference between a breakdown wave moving between a pair of electrodes and a fast luminous front moving away from the driving discharge in an electromagnetic shock tube may lie in the presence or absence of current flow, respectively. As a breakdown wave moves out from the initiating electrode, the electrode is in effect being extended into the gas. Thus there is a redistribution of surface charge over the advancing surface of the ionization front. This current flow is relatively small and can be considered as a second-order effect.

It has been assumed in the foregoing argument that radiation from the hot gas is the driving mechanism. Soft X-ray emission due to bombardment of the initiating electrode can also provide a contribution.

Medford, Powell, and Fletcher<sup>2</sup> calculate that an ionizing photon flux of  $3.5 \times 10^{23}$  photons/cm<sup>2</sup> sec is required to account for a speed of  $5 \times 10^{5}$  cm/sec at a pressure of 4 mm llg. It is interesting to note that this same flux would give a speed of about  $1.4 \times 10^{8}$  cm/sec at 0.1 mm Hg, which gives order-of-magnitude agreement with the observations of Josephson and Hales, 1 as well as Fowler and Hood. 5

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST

ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	OBGANIZATION				
Director Office of Sec. of Defense Washington 25, D.C. Ath: Clifford E. McLain	6	U.S. Signal Missile Support Agency White Sands Missile Range New Mexico	1			
Office of Director Defense Besearch and Engineering Weapons Systems Evaluation Group The Pentagon	1	Headquarters U.S. Army Air Defense Command Ent Air Force Base Colorado Springs, Colorado	1			
Nashington 25, D.C. Department of the Army Office, Chief of Ordnance	1	Bureau of Naval Weapons Navy Department Washington 25, D.C.	1			
Mashington 25, D.C. Army Missile Command	1	U.S. Navy Electronics Laboratory San Diego 52, California	1			
Redstone Arsenal . Alabama Attn: MSMI-RNR/Be-Entry Physics Branch	,	U.S. Naval Missile Center Advanced Programs Department Point Mugu, California	1			
Besearch Laboratories General Motors Corporation Warren, Michigan	2	U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25. D.C.	1			
Attn: Dr. Nils L. Muench  Army Missile Command  Liaison Office	1	U.S. Navy Headquarters Pacific Missile Bange Point Mugu, California	1			
Bell Telephone Laboratories Mippany, New Jersey		Headquarters North American Air Defense Command Ent Air Force Base	l			
J.S. Army Ordnance Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories Washington 25, D.C. Ltn: Dr. B. Altmann	1	Colorado Springs, Colorado Space Intelligence Division Headquarters, North American Air	1			
ordnance Technical Intelligence agency orlington Hall Station	1	Defense Command Ent Air Force Base Colorado Springs, Colorado				
Arlington 12, Virginia J.S. Army Ordnance Picatinny Arsenal Pover, New Jersey	1	Hughes Aircraft Company Florence and Tenle Streets Building 5, MS B-105 Culver City, California	1			
I.S. Army, Office, Chief of Besearch and Development Department of the Army	1	MIT Lincoln Laboratories Lexington, Mass. Attn: Dr. Seymour Edelberg	1			
nshington 25, D.C.	1	MIT Lincoln Laboratories Lexington, Mass. Attn: Aaron Galvin	I			
.S. Army echnical Branch Collection ission ACSI, DA he Pentagon, 28457	•	Syracuse University Research Corporation University Station Syracuse 10, New York	n I			
ashington 25, D.C.	l a-	Aero-Jet General Corporation Azusa, California	1			
)efense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia	20	Aerospace Corporation P.O. Box 95085 Los Angeles 45, California Attn: Library Technical Documents Gro	l oup			

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST Continued

ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES		NO. O	
Ford Motor Company Aeronatronic Division Ford Road Newport Beach, California	1	Martin Company Denver Division Mail #A-314 Denver 1, Colorado	1	
Attn: Acquisitions Eibrarian  General Dynamics Astronautics Division San Diego 12, California	1	The Mitre Corporation Middlesex Turnpike Bedford, Massachusetts	1	
General Dynamics Convair Division San Diego 12, California	1	North American Aviation, Inc. General Offices 1700 East Imperial Highway El Segundo, California	1	
General Denamics Electronics Military Products Division San Diego 12, California	1	Attn: Dr. Charles W. Cook  Northrop Corporation Nortronics Division Research Park	1	
General Electric Company Space Sciences Laboratory Space Technology Center	1	Palo Verdes Estates, California Attn: Kudumalakunte N. Satyendra		
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania Attn: Joseph Farber General Electric Company. TEMPO 735 State Street Santa Barbara, California Attn: Walter Hausz	1	Major Defense Systems Division Building 127-311 Moorestown, New Jersey Attn: Heinrich O. Benecke Attn: Mr. Benedict Kingsley	1	
General Electric Company Heavy Military Electronics Dept. Building 9, Boom 29 Court Street Plant Syracuse, New York	1	Radio Corporation of America Missile and Surface Badar Division Building 108-207 Moorestown, New Jersey Attn: Archie Gold	1	
Attn: John P. Costas  General Motors Corporation  Defense Systems Division  Box T	1	Radio Corporation of America Missile and Surface Radar Division Borton Landing Boad Moorestown, New Jersey Attn: Alvin David Gottlieb		
Santa Barbara, California Attn: Arnold T. Nordsřeck General Motors Corporation	1	The BAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	
Defense Systems Division Box T Santa Barbara, California Attn: C. M. Shaar		Baytheon Company Missile and Space Division Santa Barbara, California	1	
Geophysics Corporation of America Burlington Road Bedford, Massachusetts Attn: Jean 1rl Francis King	1	Raytheon Company Missile and Space Division Hartwell Boad Bedford, Massachusetts	1	
Hughes Aircraft Company Fullerton, California	1	Space Technology Laboratories, Inc P.O. Box 95001 Los Angeles 45, California	1	
Institute for Defense Analyses 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington 9, D.C. Attn: John Joseph Martin	1	Sperry Band Corporation Sperry Gyroscope Company Division Great Neck, New York	1	
1TT Federal Laboratories 225 Santa Monica Rlvd. Santa Monica, California	1	Westinghouse Electric Corporation Box 746 Baltimore, Maryland	1	
Lockheed Corporation California Division Burbank, California Attn: Library	1	Commander U.S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgreen, Virginia Attn: Code KRT-Mode	1	

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST Continued

ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF
U.S. Air Force Aeronautical Systems Division Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	1	Avco Corporation 201 Lowell Street Wilmington, Massachusetts	1
U.S. Air Force Aeronautical Systems Division Detachment 4	1	Avco-Everett Research Laboratory 2385 Revere Beach Parkway Everett, Massachusetts	1
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida U.S. Air Force Ballistic Systems Division	i	Barnes Engineering Company 30 Commerce Road Stamford, Connecticut	1
Air Force Unit Post Office Los Angeles 45, California U.S. Air Force Air Force Cambridge Besearch Laborato: Laurence G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts	l ries	Battelle Defender Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio Attn: Reports Library, Room 6A	1
U.S. Air Force Air Force Cambridge Research Laborato Laurence G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts Attn: Norman Wolfson Rosenberg	ries	Hell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Technical Information Library Whippany Laboratory Whippany, New Jersey 07981 Attn: Technical Reports Librarian	1
National Aeronautics & Space Administrat Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California	tion 1	Bendix Corporation Bendix Systems Division 3300 Plymouth Boad Ann Arbor, Michigan	1
Armour Research Foundation 10 W. 35th Street Chicago 16, Illinois	1	Bendix Corporation Bendix Radio Division Towson 4, Maryland	1
University of Chicago Laboratories for Applied Sciences Chicago 37, Illinois	1	The Boeing Company Aerospace Division Seattle 24, Washington	
Electronics Research Laboratories Columbia University	1	Chance Vought Corporation Dallas 22, Texas	1
New York 27, New York Attn: Lawrence II. O'Neill Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. 4455 Genesee Street	1	Chrysler Corporation Missile Division P.O. Box 2628 Detroit 31, Michigan	1
Buffalo 21, New York Denver Research Institute University of Denver University Park Denver 10, Colorado	1	Defense Research Corporation 4050 State Street Santa Barbara, California Attn: Ben Alexander	1
Research Laboratory of Electronics lassachusetts Institute of Technology	1	Douglas Aircraft Company 3000 Ocean Park Boulevard Santa Monica, California	1
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: George Graham Harvey Massachusetts Institute of Technology	1	Electro-Optical Systems, Inc. 125 North Vinedo Avenue Pasadena, California	1
Aerophysics Laboratory Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Massachusetts Institute of Technology Lincoln Laboratory	1	Lockheed Corporation Missile and Space Divisions Palo Alto, California Attn: Library	; l
P.O. Box 73 Lexington 73, Massachusetts Attn: Glen F. Pippert Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana Attn: Dr. Robert Goulard	1	Lockheed Corporation Missiles and Space Divisions Sunnyvale, California Attn: Library	1

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST Concluded

OPGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	OPGANI ZATION	NO. OF COPIES
Sylvania Electronics Products, Inc. Electronic Defense Laboratories Mt. View, California	1		
Sylvania Electrical Products, Inc 100 - 1st Avenue Waltham 54, Massachusetts	1		
Air University Library U.S. Air Force Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama Attn: AAL-3T-62-878a Elizabeth Perkins Document Librarian	1		
System Development Corporation 2500 Colorado Avenue Santa Monica, California	1		
Headquarters Ballistic Systems Division Air Force Systems Command Norton A.F.B., California	1		
IRIA Institute of Science and Technology The University of Michigan Box 618 Ann Arbor, Michigan Attn: William Wolfe Group Supervisor, IRIA	1		
Institute of Science & Technology The University of Michigan P.O. Box 618 Ann Arbor, Michigan Attn: BAMIRAC Library	1		
Commanding General White Sands Missile Hange New Mexico Attn. Technical Library	1		
Avco Corperation 201 Lowell Surget Wilmington, Massachusetts Attn: Dr. P. W. Constance	1		
Scientific and Technical Information Facility P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014 Attn: NASA Nep. (SAK/DL)	2		

#### STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

#### MENLO PARK CALIFORNIA

### Regional Offices and Laboratories

Southern California Laboratories 820 Mission Street South Pasadena, California 91031

Washington Office 808-17th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

New York Office 270 Park Avenue, Room 1770 New York, New York 10017

Detroit Office 1025 East Maple Road Birmingham, Michigan 48011

European Office Pelikanstrasse 37 Zurich 1, Switzerland

Tokyo, Japan

Japan Office
Nomura Security Building, 6th Floor

1-1 Nihonbashidori, Chuo ku

## Retained Representatives

Toronto, Ontario, Canada Cyril A. Ing 67 Yonge Street, Room 710 Toronto 1, Ontario, Canada

Milan, Italy Lorenzo Franceschini Via Macedonio Melloni, 49 Milan, Italy

# UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED